

(12) PETTY PATENT
(19) AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE

(11) Application No. AU 199938014 B3
(10) Patent No. 712824

(54) Title
A growth medlum

(51)⁶ International Patent Classification(s)
A01G 001/04 C05G 003/00

(21) Application No: 199938014

(22) Application Date: 1999.07.06

(30) Priority Data

(31) Number	(32) Date	(33) Country
PP5695	1998.09.04	AU
PQ0998	1999.06.16	AU

(43) Publication Date : 1999.11.18

(43) Publication Journal Date : 1999.11.18

(45) Granted Journal Date : 1999.11.18

(71) Applicant(s)
Marlin Technologies Pty Ltd

(72) Inventor(s)
John Wesley Stamp

(74) Agent/Attorney
CULLEN and CO,GPO Box 1074,BRISBANE QLD 4001

(56) Related Art
JP 63207326
JP 01215780
GB 2252553

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

AUSTRALIA
Patents Act 1990

COMPLETE SPECIFICATION
FOR A PETTY PATENT



Name of Applicant(s): *Marlin Technologies Pty Ltd*
~~JOHN WESLEY STAMP~~

Actual Inventor(s): JOHN WESLEY STAMP

Address for Service: CULLEN & CO.,
Patent & Trade Mark Attorneys,
240 Queen Street,
Brisbane, Qld. 4000,
Australia.

Invention Title: A GROWTH MEDIUM

Details of Associated Provisional Applications:

Nos. PP5695 - 04.09.98
PQ0998 - 16.06.99

The following statement is a full description of this invention including the best method of performing it known to me:

The present invention is directed towards a growth medium for plants. The present invention is also directed towards a growth medium for use as a casing soil in mushroom cultivation.

In commercial mushroom cultivation it is general practice to
5 provide a lower layer of compost which is covered by a layer of a material referred to as casing soil. It is important in mushroom cultivation that the casing soil assists in maintaining a desirable moisture level for mushroom growth. It should also maintain a desirable carbon dioxide and oxygen ratio. Further the casing soil should maintain the nutrient balance of the compost
10 and also act as a barrier to disease and insect pests.

To date, the only material which has been recognised by commercial mushroom growers as being acceptable for use as casing material is sphagnum peat. Sphagnum peat is partially decomposed sphagnum moss and is obtained from Ireland, Holland and Canada (known
15 as blonde peat). Sphagnum peat has the required ability to retain moisture at levels suitable for mushroom growth, together with required porosity and nutrient levels.

However, existing sphagnum peat supplies are becoming depleted. Thus, there is a need in the industry for an alternative to sphagnum
20 peat. Still further, the costs of obtaining imported sphagnum peat are high and adds considerably to a mushroom grower's costs. Thus, there is a further need in the industry for an economically viable alternative to sphagnum peat.

A difficulty with obtaining an alternative to sphagnum peat is that
25 any alternative must satisfy the particular requirements for mushroom cultivation. As mentioned above, a casing soil must have a moisture holding capacity to ensure that there is sufficient moisture to support mushroom growth. Other important properties include neutral pH, low salt levels and suitable nutrient levels. It has been observed that although other types of
30 peat such as sedge peat are available they do not satisfy the above requirements and are unsuitable as casing soils. To date, there is no commercially available alternative to sphagnum peat.

In the horticultural industry, it is common practice to prepare

artificial growth medium for use as potting mixes. Such growth media must have desirable air porosity, water retention properties and sufficient nutrients to sustain plant growth.

Typical commercial potting mixes include a mixture of coarse
5 sand and an organic material. Such a mixture on its own is generally deficient in many nutrients necessary for plant growth. Thus, to provide a satisfactory mix, it is necessary to add the nutrients required for plant growth.

Typical organic materials are timber products such as bark chips
and composted bark material. Although these materials are generally
10 obtained from byproducts of timber production, their supply does rely on diminishing natural resources.

In an attempt to provide a plant growth medium with desirable
properties a number of different combinations of materials have been
researched. Examples of these earlier studies include the use of filler
15 materials such as bagasse, coconut fibre and rice husks with sphagnum peat moss and sphagnum peat.

Filler materials such as bagasse are fibrous materials which
contain no significant levels of nutrients. Thus, it is necessary to add the
nutrient rich sphagnum moss or peat. However, as mentioned above,
20 sphagnum peat is in a finite supply. To date, it is believed there is no acceptable alternative to the use of sphagnum peat in the horticulture or mushroom industries.

It is therefore an object of the present invention to provide a
growth medium for plants and mushrooms which may at least partially
25 overcome the above disadvantages or provide the public with a useful choice. It is also an object of the present invention to provide a material for use as a casing soil which may be used as an alternative to sphagnum peat.

According to a first broad form of the invention there is provided
a growth medium for plants or mushrooms, the medium comprising sugar
30 cane mill mud and non sphagnum-peat material selected from a non sphagnum-peat and coconut fibre.

The growth medium of the present invention may be used in a
wide range of applications including potting mixes, mulch, mushroom casing



soil and also as a top dressing material for germination of grass seeds.

In the present specification, the term "non sphagnum-peat " includes any peat material which is not derived from sphagnum moss. Such peat materials include peat derived from sedges or trees. Another suitable material is coconut fibre which is known as coco peat. The coconut fibre may be partially composted or used in its raw state and consists of shredded coconut coir (the fibrous part of a coconut shell). Combinations of any two or more types of non sphagnum-peat material may also be used. Sphagnum peat may also be included as a minor component of the composition if desired.

The term "sugarcane mill mud" in the present specification and claims refers to washing material from sugar cane mills. The washings include cane washings, lime, cane juice impurities and fine bagasse.

Neither non sphagnum-peat materials or sugarcane mill mud when used exclusively as a plant growth medium or casing soil are suitable for satisfactory plant or mushroom growth. However, the present inventor has surprisingly discovered that when a sugarcane mill mud and non-sphagnum peat are used in combination, a material suitable for use as a plant growth medium or casing soil may be obtained.

Neither product on its own is suitable for satisfactorily supporting plant or mushroom growth.

The ratio of non sphagnum-peat material to sugarcane mill mud is typically between about 1.4:1 to about 2:1 parts by weight. Preferably the ratio is about 1.7:1. The amount may vary depending upon the type of peat and source of the sugarcane mill mud. For example, the composition of the sugar cane mill mud may vary, depending upon the source mill.

Typically, the respective amounts of the two components are selected so as to optimise desirable properties such as water retention, aeration, pH, salt content and nutrient level. For example, non-sphagnum peats typically have undesirable properties such as low pH, low nutrient levels and low air porosity. These properties may be offset by the sugarcane mill mud which has near neutral pH, suitable nutrient levels and good air porosity. Conversely, undesirable properties of the sugarcane mill mud such as high



salt levels, low moisture retention, high levels or susceptibility to unwanted biological organisms are offset by the peat which was as low salt levels, good moisture retention and is substantially free of nematodes and other soil pathogens.

- 5 Preferably, the non sphagnum-peat material and sugarcane mill mud are mixed to provide a composition having the ranges as defined in the following Table 1.

TABLE 1

Nutrient	Range
Air Filled Porosity %	17 – 25
Water Holding Capacity %	67 – 74
pH	6.7 – 7.2
Electrical Conductivity	0.3 – 0.45
Chloride (ppm)	18 – 30
Nitrate Nitrogen (ppm)	100 – 150
Ammonium Nitrogen (ppm)	< 50
Total Nitrogen (ppm)	60 – 100
Sulphur (ppm)	25 – 50
Phosphorus (ppm)	18 – 40
Potassium (ppm)	16 – 30
Calcium (ppm)	150 – 350
Magnesium (ppm)	30 – 60
Sodium (ppm)	5 – 20
Iron (ppm)	25 – 50
Copper (ppm)	1.0 – 4.5
Manganese (ppm)	2 - 7

- 10 When used as a casing soil composition, preferably water is also added to the non sphagnum-peat material/sugarcane mill mud mixture to provide a water content of between about 65 – 75%(wt/vol). Other optional additives may also be added. Such additives include wetting agents, fungicides, nematicides, insecticides and texture and pH controlling agents. Such additives are known to those skilled in the art. The composition may



5a

also be supplemented with nutrients, if desired, such that the concentrations of the respective chemicals fall within the ranges in Table 1.



Where the medium is to be used as a plant growth medium such as potting mix or top dressing soil, it may be desirable to add a filler material to modify porosity and/or water retention. The amount of filler can be varied, depending upon the desired properties of the mix. This can depend on the type of plant to be grown. Suitably, about 30 to about 80% of filler may be added. Potting mixes will typically include about 60 to about 70% filler where top dressing soils can contain lower levels of filler.

A preferred filler is an inert material. An especially preferred filler is bagasse.

Optionally, further additions known in the art may be added to the medium of the present invention. Such additives include wetting agents, insecticides, nematicides, nutrients and a pH modifying agent.

By way of Example only, the present invention will be described with reference to the following Examples.

15 Example 1

A 10 tonne batch of casing soil was prepared as follows: Sugarcane mill mud having a composition according to Table 2 and sedge peat having a composition according to Table 3 are sterilised separately at 70°C for 5 hours. 6,250kg of peat and 3,750kg of sugarcane mill mud are mixed in a mixer. An effective amount of a pH controlling agent such as gypsum and/or lime is added such that the pH of the mixture is near neutral, typically between about 6.7 to about 7.2. Generally about 100 – 130kg of the pH controlling agent is added.

A 10L solution of one or more fungicides is prepared. Preferred fungicides are those available under the trade names Prochloraz and Benomyl. Preferably a 10L solution of Prochloraz (0.4 – 0.8kg) and Benomyl (0.3 – 0.7kg) is prepared.

A 10L solution of an insecticide, nematicide and disinfectant is also prepared. A preferred insecticide is available under the trade name Fipronil (0.2 – 0.4L), a preferred nematicide is available under the trade name Fenamiphos (0.1 – 0.3L) and a preferred disinfectant is Formalin (1.5 – 2.25L).

The moisture level of the mix is measured and the amount of

water required to achieve a moisture content of about 75% is calculated.

A wetting agent is then added to the calculated amount of water.

A preferred wetting agent is Alcohol Ethoxylate. Typically about 0.8 – 1.5L of ethoxylate is added to about 0.8 – 1.0KL of water.

5 The sugarcane mill mud and peat are mixed in the mixer at a speed not more than about 50rpm for between about 8 to 10 minutes. During mixing, the three aqueous solutions, prepared above, are sprinkled onto the mixture. After the solutions have been added, mixing is continued for between about 3 to about 5 minutes.

10 The casing mixture is then ready to be used or packaged.

TABLE 2 – SEDGE PEAT*

Nutrient/Test	Optimum Range	Analytical Range at different depth
Air Filled Porosity %	15 – 25	2 – 10
Water Holding Capacity %	> 40	54 – 82
pH	6.5 – 7.2	3.6 – 4.4
Electrical Conductivity	0.2 – 0.5	0.08 – 0.12
Chloride	0 – 100	10 – 13
Nitrate Nitrogen	100 – 150	1 – 2
Ammonium Nitrogen	0 – 150	0.0
Total Nitrogen	150 – 250	1 – 2
Sulphur	> 40	4 – 8
Phosphorus	8 – 40	1 – 2
Potassium	35 – 250	2 – 4
Calcium	50 – 340	17 – 22
Magnesium	25 – 80	20 – 24
Sodium	0 – 70	18 – 23
Iron	35 – 70	52 – 72
Copper	0.4 – 10	0.04 – 0.15
Manganese	1.0 – 15	1 – 3

*The sedge peat is sourced from Butcher's Creek in Atherton.

This peat contains high levels of minerals and a large percentage of undecomposed grasses, roots and the like which can tie up free nitrogen. Further, this material can break down to a very fine particle size that can clog pore spaces.

5

TABLE 3 – SUGARCANE MILL MUD

Nutrient/Test	Analytical Range
Moisture Level %	67.9 – 75.5
Water holding capacity %	46.9 – 62.3
pH	5.8 – 6.4
Electrical conductivity	0.54 – 0.77
Total Nitrogen % dm	0.52 – 0.83
Ammonium Nitrogen ppm	5 – 45
Phosphorus % dm	0.45 – 0.69
Potassium % dm	0.69 – 0.89
Calcium % dm	1.01 – 1.53
Magnesium % dm	0.37 – 0.43
Sulfur % dm	0.13 – 0.19

Example 2

Example 1 was repeated with the sedge peat being replaced by coco peat. The coco peat has a composition according to Table 4.

10

TABLE 4 – COCO PEAT

Nutrient/Test	Optimum Range	Analytical Range at different depth
Air Filled Porosity %	15 – 25	13 – 18
Water Holding Capacity %	> 40	63 – 77
pH	6.5 – 7.2	6.1 – 6.4
Electrical Conductivity	0.2 – 0.5	0.29 – 0.31
Chloride	0 – 100	59 – 71
Nitrate Nitrogen	100 – 150	1 – 3
Ammonium Nitrogen	0 – 150	> 5

Total Nitrogen	150 – 250	1 – 3.5
Sulphur	> 40	2.9 – 6.3
Phosphorus	8 – 40	1 – 6
Potassium	35 – 250	132 – 166
Calcium	50 – 340	42 – 68
Magnesium	25 – 80	14 – 23
Sodium	0 – 70	53 – 57
Iron	35 – 70	10.1 – 24.6
Copper	0.4 – 10	4 – 7.6
Manganese	1.0 – 15	2.2 – 7.5

The composition of the casing soil prepared by Examples 1 and 2 has a composition according to Table 5.

TABLE 5

Nutrient	Available limits of new casing mix
Air Filled Porosity %	21 – 25
Water Holding Capacity %	70 – 72
pH	6.8 – 7.0
Electrical Conductivity	0.375 – 0.4
Chloride (ppm)	24 – 28
Nitrate Nitrogen (ppm)	110 – 125
Ammonium Nitrogen (ppm)	0.0
Total Nitrogen (ppm)	75 – 90
Sulphur (ppm)	30 – 60
Phosphorus (ppm)	25 – 35
Potassium (ppm)	20 – 30
Calcium (ppm)	225 – 300
Magnesium (ppm)	36 – 45
Sodium (ppm)	14 – 20
Iron (ppm)	42 – 45
Copper (ppm)	3.5 – 4.0
Manganese (ppm)	4 – 8

The casing soils prepared by Examples 1 and 2 are chemically balanced and ready to use. The texture of the material is able to maintain a ratio of carbon dioxide and oxygen which facilitates the initial growth of the mushroom mycellum. (Carbon dioxide is typically generated by the lower compost layer).

The casing mixture prepared according to Examples 1 and 2 were observed to have a high carbon content (which is desirable for optimum vegetative growth), a low ash content (about 15 to about 25%), a high level of organic material (about 540mg/kg), to be substantially nematode free, substantially free from soil borne pathogens have a moisture content of between about 45 to about 55% and a high moisture holding capacity (ie. a water holding capacity at dry bulb density of 0.4m/cc at 0.4m suction is 137% on a dry basis and 55% on a volumetric basis at temperature ranges of 15°C to 32°C).

The casing soil was also observed to maintain the moisture holding capacity at a minimum level of about 67 to about 72%, to maintain an optimum level of resistance against unwanted biological organisms during the cropping cycle, optimum nutrient levels, a pH of between about 6.7 to about 7.2 and also to maintain a desirable texture.

The growth and quality of mushrooms produced using the composition of the present invention was compared with the growth and quality of mushrooms produced using sphagnum peat. The quantity and quality of the mushrooms produced using the composition of the present invention was found to be comparable to that using sphagnum peat. Comparative tests in which sedge peat and sugarcane mill mud were used on their own showed that the mushroom growth was unsatisfactory.

Example 3

A potting mix was prepared by mixing 1.7 parts by weight sedge peat to 1 part by weight sugar mill mud. To this mix was added 4 parts by weight bagasse.

Example 4

A top dressing material was prepared according to Example 3

except that 2 parts by weight bagasse was added. The top dressing material was spread on a ground surface. Grass seeds were spread on the material at regular intervals. Grass germination and growth was evident over a period of about one week. This time was observed to be less than that when using
5 conventional top dressing materials.

The potting mix and top dressing material were also observed to exhibit at least equivalent and generally superior results over conventional materials. However, the medium of the present invention can be prepared using waste products such as sugar cane mill mud. Thus, the medium can
10 replace conventional materials currently prepared from limited natural resources.

It will be appreciated that in the present specification and claims, the term "comprising" and its derivatives "comprise" and "comprises" will imply the inclusion of the stated integers but not the exclusion of any further integer
15 or integers.

It can be seen that the composition of the present invention provides an alternative to conventional sphagnum peat. The composition enables the production of mushrooms of a quality and quantity comparable to sphagnum peat.

THE CLAIMS DEFINING THE INVENTION ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1. A growth medium for plants or mushrooms, the medium comprising sugar cane mill mud and a non sphagnum-peat material selected from a non sphagnum-peat and coconut fibre..
- 5 2. The growth medium of claim 1 wherein the ratio of non-sphagnum peat material to sugar cane mill mud is between about 1.4:1 to about 2:1 parts by weight and further includes between about 30 to about 80% of an inert filler.
3. The growth medium of claim 1 or claim 2, substantially as
10 hereinbefore described with reference to Example 3 or Example 4.

DATED this 7th day of September 1999

JOHN WESLEY STAMP

By his Patent Attorneys

CULLEN & CO.



THE CLAIMS DEFINING THE INVENTION ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1. A growth medium for plants or mushrooms, the medium comprising sugar cane mill mud and a non sphagnum-peat material selected from a non sphagnum-peat and coconut fibre..
- 5 2. The growth medium of claim 1 wherein the ratio of non-sphagnum peat material to sugar cane mill mud is between about 1.4:1 to about 2:1 parts by weight and further includes between about 30 to about 80% of an inert filler.
3. The growth medium of claim 1 or claim 2, substantially as
10 hereinbefore described with reference to Example 3 or Example 4.

DATED this 7th day of September 1999

JOHN WESLEY STAMP

By his Patent Attorneys

CULLEN & CO.



ABSTRACT

A growth medium for plants or mushrooms, the medium comprising a non-sphagnum peat material and sugar cane mill mud.

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☒ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☒ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.